arXiv:hep-ph/9502362v2 16 Jun 1995

Renormalization of $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$

H.H. Shih and Y.C. Lin

Department of Physics and Astronomy, National Central University, Chungli, Taiwan 32054

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

We derive the vertices of five-meson and seven-meson anomaly processes from the four dimensional expansion form of Wess-Zumino term. Using these vertices we calculate the amplitude, both the finite part and divergent part, of $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ to one loop and renormalize the lagrangian. The divergent part agrees with the result derived from path integral approach. Contribution from counter terms is estimated by using the vector meson dominance model. Test of the vertex in the t-channel of $K^-P \to \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ near the threshold is discussed. We find that the amplitudes arising from chiral loop and counter terms are of opposite sign and the counter term amplitude is about twice the loop amplitude.

11.30Rd

Typeset using REVTEX

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the major successes of theoretical physics in 70's and 80's is the discovery and the understanding of chiral anomaly [1,2]. It reconciles the discrepancy between the prediction of Sutherland-Veltman theorem and the experimental data of $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ and it leads to a deeper understanding of $PCAC$ theorem. Its connection to differential geometry opens a door to the studies of anomaly of all sorts. Experimental results about anomaly are also very fruitful. The data of $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$ not only successfully prove the existence of gauged chiral anomaly but also serves as a solid evidence for the fact that $N_c = 3$ in QCD. A few other prototype anomaly processes, including $\gamma \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\gamma\gamma \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$, are also extensively studied [3,4] and proposed in various experiments [5]. The accuracy of these experiments is sensitive to the higher order corrections to the anomaly processes. In particular, it is found that it is necessary to include the $O(p^6)$ contribution in the momentum power counting in order to explain the $\gamma \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ coupling in the one photon exchange domain of $\pi^- Z \to \pi^{-\prime}\pi^0 Z'$ experiment [5].

It is the purpose of this work to study the amplitude of pure mesonic anomaly process $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ to one loop, both the divergent part and the finite part. The former can be used for the comparison with the divergent part computed from path integral approach [3,6]. It is found that the divergent part derived from the present approach is the same with the result derived from path integral approach. The later is useful for the understanding of higher order corrections. We also estimate the contribution from the counter terms by using vector meson dominance model (VMD). The $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude can be tested in the t-channel of $K^-P \to \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ near the production threshold. We find that the contribution arising from counter terms and the loop contribution are of opposite sign. By contraries to the frequently used working assumption of chiral perturbation theory, the counter term contribution is twice larger than the loop contribution. The article is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we derive the relevant vertices from the four dimensional form of Wess-Zumino term. We then calculate the $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude to one loop, both the divergent part and the finite part. In Sec. III, we estimate the contribution arising from the counter terms by using VMD. In Sec. IV, we conclude this paper by discussing the test of the vertex in the t-channel cross section of $K^-P \to \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$.

II. LOOP AMPLITUDE

The closed form of Wess-Zumino terms only exists in five-dimensional manifold [2] and the form is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \int_Q \omega_{ijklm} d\Sigma^{ijklm} \\ &= -\frac{iN_c}{240\pi^2}\int_Q d\Sigma^{ijklm}\left[TrU^{-1}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^i}U^{-1}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^j}U^{-1}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^k}U^{-1}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^l}U^{-1}\frac{\partial U}{\partial y^m}\right],\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $d\Sigma^{ijklm} = d^5y\epsilon^{ijklm} = dy^i \wedge dy^j \wedge dy^k \wedge dy^l \wedge dy^m$ is the five-form defined on the five-dimensional manifold Q and

$$\begin{aligned}U &= \exp\frac{i}{f_\pi}\phi \\ &= 1 + \frac{i}{f_\pi}\phi + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i}{f_\pi}\right)^2\phi^2 + \cdots\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

is the nonlinear realization of meson octet ϕ, $\phi = \phi^a\lambda_a$. The four dimension form can be derived by first expanding U in terms of ϕ and then partially integrating the five dimensional form. The relevant pieces to the loop amplitude calculation of $K^+K^-\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ are the five-meson coupling

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \frac{N_c}{240\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\int d^5x\epsilon^{ijklm}(\partial_i\phi\partial_j\phi\partial_k\phi\partial_l\phi\partial_m\phi) \\ &= \frac{N_c}{240\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\int d^5x\epsilon^{ijklm}\partial_i(\phi\partial_j\phi\partial_k\phi\partial_l\phi\partial_m\phi) \\ &= \frac{N_c}{240\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\int d^4x\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\phi\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi,\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

which gives rise to the tree level amplitude of $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$

$$M(K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5) \to \pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)) = \frac{i3}{4\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}k_{2\mu}k_{3\nu}k_{4\alpha}k_{5\beta}, \tag{4}$$

and the seven-meson coupling

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma &= \frac{-N_c}{4032 f_\pi^7}\int d\Sigma^{ijklm} Tr[8\partial_i\phi^3\partial_j\phi\partial_k\phi\partial_l\phi\partial_m\phi \\ &\quad -9\partial_i\phi^2\partial_j\phi^2\partial_k\phi\partial_l\phi\partial_m\phi + 3\partial_i\phi^2\partial_j\phi\partial_k\phi^2\partial_l\phi\partial_m\phi] \\ &= \frac{-N_c}{4032 f_\pi^7}\int d^4x\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Tr[8\phi^3\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi \\ &\quad -9\phi^2\partial_\mu\phi^2\partial_\nu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi + 3\phi^2\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi^2\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi]. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We listed the relevant four-meson vertices arising from the normal parity part of chiral lagrangian (see, for example, *ref.* [7]) in Table I. The five-meson vertices and seven-meson vertices derived from *eq.* 4 and *eq.* 5 are listed in Table II and Table III respectively.

The loop amplitude receives three kinds of contribution : wave function renormalization (Fig. 1), meson decay constant renormalization (Fig. 2) and chiral loop contribution (Fig. 3). All these contributions contain a divergent part and a finite part. The divergent part in loop amplitude has to be cancelled by the divergent part in counter terms of higher momentum power and it is in general not interesting to the phenomenological concern. However, as is pointed out in [6], the fact that the divergent part only exists in four dimensional manifold implies the non-renormalization of Wess-Zumino-Witten anomaly. So it worths calculating the divergent part by the present approach and compare it with the result derived from path integral approach. The finite part usually contains terms involving nontrivial momentum dependence which can not be absorbed into the counter terms. This part, together with the finite part in the counter terms, are of interest to phenomenological concern.

Both the results of meson decay constant renormalization and wave function renormalization are well-known [7], and we only quote the results here for the sake of convenience:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\pi &= f(1 + A_{m_\pi} + \frac{1}{2}A_{m_K}) \\ f_K &= f(1 + \frac{3}{8}A_{m_\pi} + \frac{3}{4}A_{m_K} + \frac{3}{8}A_{m_\eta}) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\pi &= 1 + \frac{2}{3}A_{m_\pi} + \frac{1}{3}A_{m_K} \\ Z_K &= 1 + \frac{1}{4}A_{m_\pi} + \frac{1}{2}A_{m_K} + \frac{1}{4}A_{m_\eta}, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A_m &= \frac{1}{16\pi^2 f^2} m^2 \lambda - \frac{1}{16\pi^2 f^2} m^2 \ln m^2 \\ \lambda &= \frac{2}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + 1 + \ln 4\pi,\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

in which $\epsilon = 4 - d$ and γ_E is the Euler number. There are no diagrams correspond to the meson decay constant renormalization in the loop calculation. The reason why we include these contribution is that we use the physical meson decay constants f_π and f_K in the final expression instead of using the bare one f, and the contributions in *eq.* 6 account for the difference.

The loop integrals which are relevant to our calculations are listed in Appendix. The overall results are summarized in the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}A_{one-loop} = i\frac{3}{4\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}\{&\frac{1}{16\pi^2 f^2} \\ &[\frac{1}{12}\lambda(3P_{45}^2 + 2P_{12}^2 + 2P_{23}^2 + 2P_{13}^2 + 2P_{25}^2 + 2P_{34}^2 + P_{14}^2 + P_{15}^2) + \\ &\frac{1}{4}F(P_{14}^2, P_{14}^2 + M_\pi^2 - M_K^2, M_\pi^2) + \frac{1}{4}F(P_{14}^2, P_{14}^2 + M_\eta^2 - M_K^2, M_\eta^2) + \\ &\frac{1}{4}F(P_{15}^2, P_{15}^2 + M_\pi^2 - M_K^2, M_\pi^2) + \frac{1}{4}F(P_{15}^2, P_{15}^2 + M_\eta^2 - M_K^2, M_\eta^2) + \\ &\frac{1}{2}F(P_{25}^2, P_{25}^2 + M_\pi^2 - M_K^2, M_\pi^2) + \frac{1}{2}F(P_{25}^2, P_{25}^2 + M_\eta^2 - M_K^2, M_\eta^2) + \\ &\frac{1}{2}F(P_{34}^2, P_{34}^2 + M_\pi^2 - M_K^2, M_\pi^2) + \frac{1}{2}F(P_{34}^2, P_{34}^2 + M_\eta^2 - M_K^2, M_\eta^2) + \\ &\frac{3}{2}F(P_{45}^2, P_{45}^2, M_K^2) + F(P_{12}^2, P_{12}^2, M_\pi^2) + F(P_{23}^2, P_{23}^2, M_\pi^2) + F(P_{13}^2, P_{13}^2, M_\pi^2) - \\ &\frac{15}{4}M_\pi^2 ln\frac{M_\pi^2}{\Lambda^2} - 3M_K^2 ln\frac{M_K^2}{\Lambda^2} - \frac{3}{4}M_\eta^2 ln\frac{M_\eta^2}{\Lambda^2}]\}\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $P_{ij}^2 \equiv (P_i + P_j)^2$, $\Lambda = 4\pi f_\pi \sim 1\ GeV$ and the function $F(p^2, q^2, m^2)$ is the result of loop integration which is defined in Appendix . The divergent part, which is proportional to λ, is in agreement with the result in [3] but differs with that in [6] by a factor of 1/2. In any case, it also leads to the same result that the divergent terms only exist in four dimensional manifold. The situation for the finite part is somewhat different from the case of $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$.

In $\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$, the finite part of chiral corrections combine in such a way that the net effect of higher order correction is just to replace the bare pion decay constant f in tree level amplitude by the physical one f_π. This is also the realization of the non-renormalization of chiral anomaly [9]. This fact is not manifest in the present case. The reason is mainly due to the nontrivial dependence of momentum. Even at energy just above the threshold of K^+K^-, the pions have very large P_{ij}^2 and this makes the cancellation non-trivial.

III. COUNTER TERMS

The forms of the counter terms in chiral lagrangian are dictated by symmetries. However, the coefficients of counter terms are determined by the detailed underlying dynamics of QCD at energy higher than the chiral cutoff scale and they remain as unknowns in any practical use of chiral lagrangian. The value of these coefficients can be either fitted by experiments or predicted by models. Since the number of existing data in the anomaly sector is not enough to decide all the coefficients, we are forced to resort to model prediction. VMD is the most natural choice of model due to the fact that most of the processes receiving contribution from W.Z.W. are radiative processes.

Adopting the hidden symmetry approach [8] and integrating out the ρ meson which is considered as heavy particle [3] in the process of interest, the relevant part of anomaly lagrangian is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L^6_{anomaly} = & \frac{3}{8\sqrt{2}\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\frac{1}{M_\rho^2} tr\{\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi\partial_\alpha\phi[\partial_\lambda\partial_\beta\phi\partial^\lambda\phi \\ & +\partial_\beta\phi\partial^2\phi - \partial^2\phi\partial_\beta\phi - \partial_\lambda\phi\partial_\beta\partial^\lambda\phi]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where we have assumed complete vector meson dominance in deriving the coefficients. The amplitude then reads

$$\begin{aligned} A_{counter\,term} = & i\frac{3}{4\pi^2 f_\pi^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}\{\frac{1}{4M_\rho^2}(3P_{45}^2 + 2P_{34}^2 + 2P_{25}^2 \\ & +2P_{12}^2 + 2P_{13}^2 + 2P_{23}^2 + P_{14}^2 + P_{15}^2)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Note that the tensor structure of the counter term amplitude is identical to that of one-loop amplitude, as it is expected. Comparison between the size of loop amplitude and the size of counter term amplitude requires evaluation of amplitude at a specified kinetics, we will leave the comparison to Sec. IV.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

The $K^+K^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude can be tested in the t-channel of meson-baryon scattering. The scattering process $K^-P \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ (Fig. 4) is the most favorable process for the study of the $K^+K^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude for both the experimental and theoretical considerations. On the experimental side, this process is the by-product of polarized hyperon production, e.g. $E811$ at Brookhaven AGS [10]. The mode $K^-P \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0$ has been carefully studied. The study of the mode $K^-P \rightarrow \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ requires only additional detection of π^+ and π^-. On the theoretical side, we like to keep all the particles involved carrying momentum as low as possible so that we have better control of the momentum expansion perturbation. Keeping a kaon in the internal propagator allows us to minimize the momentum carried by the rest particles. Given this most favorable kinetic situation, however, the energy range which the prediction is reliable is very limited. The denominator of momentum expansion in chiral perturbation theory is believed to be $4\pi f_\pi \sim 1\ GeV$. The mass of proton, though is close to 1 GeV, is not involved in the chiral dynamics and will not cause any problem in momentum expansion. But the minimum total energy of kaon required to produce the final state particles $E = M_\Sigma - M_P + 3m_\pi \sim 750\ MeV$ is large and only the prediction near the production threshold is trustworthy.

The t-channel amplitude can be calculated by baryon chiral perturbation theory [11]. We adopt the value of $D = 0.8$ and $F = 0.5$ coefficients fitted by tree level hyperon noleptonic decays [12].

In Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 we plot the t-channel differential cross section with repect to E^*_Σ and $S_2 = (P_{\pi^+} + P_{\pi^-})^2$ correspondingly. The t-channel cross section of various combinations of amplitudes is depicted in Fig. 7. We find that the amplitude of counter terms is of the same sign as the tree level amplitude but opposite to the loop amplitude. The occurrence of cancellation between loop amplitude and counter term amplitude makes the correction of cross section at 30% level near the threshold, which is better than what is expected in this energy range. The size of counter term amplitude is about twice than that of loop amplitude. This is somewhat unexpected. In chiral perturbation theory, dominance of loop amplitude over the counter term amplitude is a frequently used working assumption.

In summary, we calculate the amplitude of the prototype pure mesonic anomaly $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ to one loop, both the divergent part and the finite part. The former agrees with the derivation by path integral approach, which confirms again the non-renormalization of anomaly. The counter term contribution is estimated by using VMD. The $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ amplitude can be tested in the t-channel of $K^-P \to \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ experiment. The counter term contribution and loop contribution add up to 30% correction in cross section to the tree level amplitude near the production threshold.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by National Science Council in Taiwan under Contract No. NSC84-2122-M008-013 and NSC-2732-M008-001. The authors like to thank S. C. Lee and Y. H. Chang for useful conversation.

APPENDIX:

The integrals required in the calculation of loop amplitude are given below. The functions $I(r,m)$ and $I_{\mu\nu}(m)$ are used in the intermediate steps of calculation. Their definitions and results are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(r,m) &\equiv \int \frac{d^n l}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{(l^2)^r}{(l^2-R^2)^m} \\ &= i\frac{(-1)^{r-m}}{(16\pi^2)^{n/4}} (R^2)^{r-m+n/2} \frac{\Gamma(n/2+r)\Gamma(m-r-n/2)}{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(m)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{\mu\nu}(m) \equiv \int \frac{d^n l}{(2\pi)^n} \frac{l_\mu l_\nu}{(l^2 - R^2)^m}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} g_{\mu\nu} I(1, m).$$

The function $F(p^2, q^2, m^2)$ is used to express the final result of chiral loop corrections. The definition and the result on integral are given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(p^2, q^2, m^2) \equiv & \int_0^1 dz(p^2 z^2 - q^2 z + m^2) \ln \frac{(p^2 z^2 - q^2 z + m^2)}{\Lambda^2} \\ = & (\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2}y + x)p^2 \ln \frac{(p^2 - q^2 + m^2)}{\Lambda^2} - (\frac{2}{9} - \frac{1}{3}y - \frac{1}{6}y^2 + \frac{4}{3}x)p^2 \\ & +(\frac{1}{12}y^2 - \frac{1}{3}x)\sqrt{y^2 - 4x}p^2 \ln \frac{2x - y + \sqrt{y^2 - 4x}}{2x - y - \sqrt{y^2 - 4x}} \\ & -(\frac{1}{2}xy - \frac{1}{12}y^3)p^2 \ln \frac{x - y + 1}{x}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x \equiv \frac{m^2}{p^2}, y \equiv \frac{q^2}{p^2}.$$

REFERENCES

[1] J. Wess and B. Zumino, Phys. Lett. **B37**, 95 (1971).

[2] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B223**, 422 (1983).

[3] J. Bijnens, Int. Journal Mod. Phys. **A8**, 3045 (1993). J. Bijnens, A. Bramon and F. Cornet, Phys. Lett. **B237**, 488 (1990). J. Bijnens, A. Bramon and F. Cornet, Z. Phys. **C46**, 599 (1990).

[4] J. W. Bos, Y. C. Lin and H. H. Shih, Phys. Lett. **B337**, 152 (1994).

[5] M. A. Moinester HEP-PH-9409307, and the references therein.

[6] J. F. Donoghue, D. Wyler, Nucl. Phys. **B316**, 289 (1989).

[7] J. Gasser and H. Leutwyler, Nucl. phys. **B250**, 465 (1985); *ibid.* **B250**, 517 (1985).

[8] M. Bando, T. Kugo and K. Yamawaki, Phys. Rep. **164**, 217 (1988).

[9] J. F. Donoghue, B. Holstein and Y. C. Lin, Phys. Pev. Lett. **25**, 2766 (1985).

[10] K. D. Larson *et al*, Phys. Rev. **D40**, 799 (1993). A. J. Noble *et al*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 414 (1992).

[11] E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. **B255**, 558 (1991).

[12] R. L. Jaffe and A. V. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. **B261**, 509 (1990).

FIGURES

Fig 1: Wave function renormalizations of π and K.

Fig. 2: Decay constant renormalizations of f_π and f_K.

Fig. 3: Contribution arising from the genuine chiral loop to $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$.

Fig. 4: The scattering process $K^-P \to \Sigma^0\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ which can be used to probe the $K^+K^- \to \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ vertex.

Fig. 5: The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{dE^*_\Sigma}$ (E^*_Σ is the energy of Σ^0 in the center of mass frame) of the t-channel amplitude in unit of $10^{-2} nb/GeV^2$ near the production threshold, $S = (P_{K^-} + P_P)^2$. The dashed line is the tree diagram contribution only while the solid line is the total contribution including tree diagrams, chiral loops and counter terms.

Fig. 6: The differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma}{ds_2}$ ($s_2 = (\pi^+ + \pi^-)^2$) of the t-channel amplitude in unit of $10^{-2} nb/GeV^2$ near the production threshold. The dashed line is the tree diagram contribution only while the solid line is the total contribution including tree diagrams, chiral loops and counter terms.

Fig. 7: The t-channel cross section (in unit of $10^{-6} nb$) of various combinations of contributions ($E_{CMS} = \sqrt{s}$). (a) tree + counter terms (b) tree + counter terms + loop (c) tree (d) tree + loop (e) counter terms (f) loop.

TABLES

TABLE I. **Four-meson Vertices:**

$\pi^o(P_1)\pi^o(P_2)\pi^+(P_3)\pi^-(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{3f^2}[2(P_1P_2+P_3P_4)-(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)+M_\pi^2]$
$\pi^+(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)\pi^-(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{3f^2}[(P_1+P_3)(P_2+P_4)+(P_1+P_4)(P_2+P_3)$ $-4(P_1P_2+P_3P_4)+2M_\pi^2]$
$K^+(P_1)K^+(P_2)K^-(P_3)K^-(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{3f^2}[(P_1+P_3)(P_2+P_4)+(P_1+P_4)(P_2+P_3)$ $-4(P_1P_2+P_3P_4)+2M_K^2]$
$K^+(P_1)K^-(P_2)K^o(P_3)\bar{K}^o(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{6f^2}[(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)+(P_1+P_4)(P_2+P_3)$ $-4(P_1P_3+P_2P_4)+2M_K^2]$
$\pi^o(P_1)\pi^o(P_2)K^+(P_3)K^-(P_4)$	$-\frac{i}{12f^2}[(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)-2(P_1P_2+P_3P_4)$ $-2(M_\pi^2+M_K^2)]$
$\pi^+(P_1)\pi^-(P_2)K^+(P_3)K^-(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{6f^2}[(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)+(P_1+P_4)(P_2+P_3)$ $-4(P_1P_3+P_2P_4)+(M_K^2+M_\pi^2)]$
$\pi^+(P_1)\pi^-(P_2)K^o(P_3)\bar{K}^o(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{6f^2}[(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)+(P_1+P_3)(P_2+P_4)$ $-4(P_1P_4+P_2P_3)+(M_K^2+M_\pi^2)]$
$K^o(P_1)\bar{K}^o(P_2)K^o(P_3)\bar{K}^o(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{3f^2}[(P_1+P_2)(P_3+P_4)+(P_1+P_4)(P_2+P_3)$ $-4(P_1P_3+P_2P_4)+2M_K^2]$
$\pi^o(P_1)\eta(P_2)K^+(P_3)K^-(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}f^2}[2(P_1+P_2)^2-(P_1+P_3)^2-(P_1+P_4)^2$ $+\frac{2}{3}(M_\pi^2-M_K^2)]$
$\pi^+(P_1)K^-(P_2)K^o(P_3)\eta(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{6\sqrt{6}f^2}M_\pi^2(\frac{m_u-m_s}{m_u})-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{6}f^2}[P_1P_2+P_1P_3$ $+P_2P_4+P_3P_4-2P_1P_4-2P_2P_3]$
$\pi^-(P_1)K^+(P_2)\bar{K}^o(P_3)\eta(P_4)$	$\frac{i}{6\sqrt{6}f^2}M_\pi^2(\frac{m_u-m_s}{m_u})-\frac{i}{2\sqrt{6}f^2}[P_1P_2+P_1P_3$ $+P_2P_4+P_3P_4-2P_1P_4-2P_2P_3]$

TABLE II. **Five-meson Vertices:**

$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)$	$\frac{i3}{4\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^o(P_4)\bar{K}^o(P_5)$	$\frac{i3}{4\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\eta(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)$	$\frac{i\sqrt{3}}{4\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)K^-(P_3)K^o(P_4)\eta(P_5)$	$-\frac{i3}{2\sqrt{6}\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^-(P_2)K^+(P_3)\bar{K}^o(P_4)\eta(P_5)$	$-\frac{i3}{2\sqrt{6}\pi^2 f^5}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$

TABLE III. **Seven-meson Vertices:** Any piece in the vertices involving the internal loop momentum vanishes after evaluating the loop integral. The remaining part which contributes to the loop amplitude involves external momentum only.

$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)K^+(P_6)K^-(P_7)$	$-\frac{i}{2\pi^2 f^7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)K^o(P_6)\bar{K}^o(P_7)$	$-\frac{i}{4\pi^2 f^7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)\pi^+(P_6)\pi^-(P_7)$	$-\frac{i5}{8\pi^2 f^7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)\pi^0(P_6)\pi^0(P_7)$	$-\frac{i5}{16\pi^2 f^7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$
$\pi^0(P_1)\pi^+(P_2)\pi^-(P_3)K^+(P_4)K^-(P_5)\eta(P_6)\eta(P_7)$	$-\frac{i3}{8\pi^2 f^7}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}P_{2\mu}P_{3\nu}P_{4\alpha}P_{5\beta}$